

### REPORT OF THE AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS AUDIT EXAMINATION OF THE BRACKEN COUNTY SHERIFF

Calendar Year 1998

## EDWARD B. HATCHETT, JR. AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

WWW.STATE.KY.US/AGENCIES/APA

144 CAPITOL ANNEX FRANKFORT, KY 40601 TELE. (502) 564-5841 FAX (502) 564-2912

<u>CONTENTS</u>	PAGE
-----------------	------

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT	5
STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS, DISBURSEMENTS, AND EXCESS FEES	3
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT	5
COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	9
REPORT ON COMPLIANCE AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL	
OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL	
STATEMENT PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS	13



### Edward B. Hatchett, Jr. Auditor of Public Accounts

To the People of Kentucky
Honorable Paul E. Patton, Governor
John P. McCarty, Secretary
Finance and Administration Cabinet
Sarah Jane Schaaf, Secretary, Revenue Cabinet
Honorable Dwayne Jett, County Judge/Executive
Honorable Mike Nelson, Bracken County Sheriff
Members of the Bracken County Fiscal Court

#### Independent Auditor's Report

We have audited the accompanying statement of receipts, disbursements, and excess fees of the Sheriff of Bracken County, Kentucky, for the year ended December 31, 1998. This financial statement is the responsibility of the Sheriff. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on this financial statement based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards, <u>Government Auditing Standards</u> issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the <u>Audit Guide for County Fee Officials</u> issued by the Auditor of Public Accounts, Commonwealth of Kentucky. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statement is free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statement. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As described in Note 1, the Sheriff is required to prepare the financial statement on a prescribed basis of accounting that demonstrates compliance with the cash basis and laws of Kentucky, which is a comprehensive basis of accounting other than generally accepted accounting principles. This cash basis system does not require the maintenance of a general fixed asset group or general long-term debt group of accounts. Accordingly, the accompanying financial statement is not intended to present financial position and results of operations in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles.

In our opinion, the financial statement referred to above presents fairly, in all material respects, the receipts, disbursements, and excess fees of the Sheriff for the year ended December 31, 1998, in conformity with the basis of accounting described above.

To the People of Kentucky
Honorable Paul E. Patton, Governor
John P. McCarty, Secretary
Finance and Administration Cabinet
Sarah Jane Schaaf, Secretary, Revenue Cabinet
Honorable Dwayne Jett, County Judge/Executive
Honorable Mike Nelson, Bracken County Sheriff
Members of the Bracken County Fiscal Court

Based on the results of our audit, we have presented a schedule of Comments and Recommendations, included herein, which discusses the following area of noncompliance:

• The Sheriff Should Have Required His Depository Institution To Pledge Additional Securities Of \$114,471 As Collateral To Protect Deposits

In accordance with <u>Government Auditing Standards</u>, we have also issued a report dated May 5, 1999, on our consideration of the Sheriff's compliance with certain laws and regulations and internal control over financial reporting.

Respectfully submitted,

Edward B. Hatchett, Jr. Auditor of Public Accounts

Audit fieldwork completed - May 5, 1999

# BRACKEN COUNTY MIKE NELSON, SHERIFF STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS, DISBURSEMENTS, AND EXCESS FEES

#### Calendar Year 1998

<b>D</b>		
Re	cei	nts

State Fees For Services: Finance and Administration Cabinet Transporting Prisoners	\$ 2,690 60	\$ 2,750
Circuit Court Clerk:		
Sheriff Security Service	\$ 9,832	
Fines/Fees Collected	70	
Court Ordered Payments	 1,450	11,352
Fiscal Court		1,611
County Clerk - Delinquent Taxes		941
Commission On Taxes Collected		69,661
Fees Collected For Services:		
Auto Inspections	\$ 2,265	
Accident-Theft Reports	251	
CCDW	1,020	
Dog Tags	104	
Serving Papers	 3,660	7,300
Other:		
Bond Refund	\$ 457	
Jury Meals	182	
Miscellaneous	 151	790
Interest Earned		964
Borrowed Money:		
State Advancement	\$ 39,902	
Bank Note	 12,000	51,902
Gross Receipts (Carried Forward)		\$ 147,271

#### BRACKEN COUNTY MIKE NELSON, SHERIFF STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS, DISBURSEMENTS, AND EXCESS FEES Calendar Year 1998 (Continued)

Gross Receipts (Brought Forward)			\$	147,271
<u>Disbursements</u>				
Operating Disbursements:				
Personnel Services-				
Deputies Gross Salaries	\$ 31,160			
Part-Time Gross Salaries	966			
Employee Benefits-				
Employer Paid Health Insurance	517			
Supplies and Materials-				
Office Materials and Supplies	1,072			
Uniforms	338			
Auto Expense-				
Gasoline and Repairs	5,684			
Other Charges-				
Telephone	2,096			
Postage and Lease on Postage Equipment	2,671			
Dog Tags	72			
Jury Meals	162			
Convention-Seminar	60			
CCDW	760			
Bond	862			
Radio, Equipment and Repairs	97			
Miscellaneous	 300	\$ 46,817		
Debt Service:				
State Advancement	\$ 39,902			
Notes	12,000			
Interest	 100	 52,002	-	
Total Disbursements			\$	98,819
W. P.			Φ.	10.153
Net Receipts			\$	48,452
Less: Statutory Maximum				48,726
Balance Due at Completion of Audit			\$	0

### BRACKEN COUNTY NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT Calendar Year 1998

Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

#### A. Fund Accounting

A fee official uses a fund to report on the results of operations. A fund is a separate accounting entity with a self-balancing set of accounts. Fund accounting is designed to demonstrate legal compliance and to aid financial management by segregating transactions related to certain government functions or activities.

A fee official uses a fund for fees to account for activities for which the government desires periodic determination of the excess of receipts over disbursements to facilitate management control, accountability, and compliance with laws.

#### B. Basis of Accounting

The financial statement has been prepared on a cash basis of accounting pursuant to KRS 68.210 as recommended by the State Local Finance Officer. Revenues and related assets are generally recognized when received rather than when earned. Certain expenses are recognized when paid rather than when a liability is incurred, including capital asset purchases. Certain other expenses are recognized when a revenue and the related asset can be associated with a corresponding liability due another governmental entity.

The measurement focus of a fee official is upon excess fees. Remittance of excess fees is due to the County Treasurer in the subsequent year.

#### C. Cash and Investments

At the direction of the fiscal court, KRS 66.480 authorizes the Sheriff to invest in the following, including but not limited to, obligations of the United States and of its agencies and instrumentalities, obligations and contracts for future delivery or purchase of obligations backed by the full faith and credit of the United States, obligations of any corporation of the United States government, bonds or certificates of indebtedness of this state, and certificates of deposit issued by or other interest-bearing accounts of any bank or savings and loan institution which are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) or which are collateralized, to the extent uninsured, by any obligation permitted by KRS 41.240(4).

#### Note 2. Employee Retirement System

The county officials and employees have elected to participate in the County Employees Retirement System (CERS), pursuant to KRS 78.530 administered by the Board of Trustees of the Kentucky Retirement Systems. This is a multiple-employer public retirement system that covers all eligible full-time employees. Benefit contributions and provisions are established by statute. Nonhazardous covered employees are required to contribute 5.0 percent of their salary to the plan. The county's contribution rate for nonhazardous employees was 8.65 percent.

BRACKEN COUNTY NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT Calendar Year 1998 (Continued)

#### Note 2. Employee Retirement System (Continued)

Benefits fully vest on reaching five years of service for nonhazardous employees. Aspects of benefits for nonhazardous employees include retirement after 27 years of service or age 65.

Historical trend information pertaining to CERS' progress in accumulating sufficient assets to pay benefits when due is present in the Kentucky Retirement Systems' annual financial report which is a matter of public record.

#### Note 3. Deposits

The Sheriff maintains deposits with depository institutions insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC). According to law, the depository institution should pledge sufficient securities as collateral which, together with FDIC insurance, equals or exceeds the amount on deposit at all times. In order to be valid against the FDIC in the event of failure or insolvency of the depository institution, this pledge of securities should be evidenced by an agreement that is (a) in writing, (b) approved by the board of directors of the depository institution or its loan committee, which approval must be reflected in the minutes of the board or committee, and (c) an official record of the depository institution. This agreement, signed by both parties, must be sufficient to create an enforceable and perfected security interest in the collateral under Kentucky law. The Sheriff entered into a written agreement with the depository institution and met requirements (a), (b), and (c) stated above. However, as of November 5, 1998, the uncollateralized amount on deposit was \$114,471. The pledged securities and FDIC insurance did not equal or exceed the amount on deposit.

The county official's deposits are categorized below to give an indication of the level of risk assumed by the county official as of November 5, 1998.

	Bank Balance
Collateralized with securities held by pledging depository institution in the county official's name	\$ 700,000
Uncollateralized and uninsured	114,471
Total	\$ 814,471



### BRACKEN COUNTY MIKE NELSON, SHERIFF COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Calendar Year 1998

#### STATE LAWS AND REGULATIONS:

The Sheriff Should Have Required His Depository Institution To Pledge Additional Securities Of \$114,471 As Collateral To Protect Deposits

The Sheriff's deposits were not adequately secured by \$114,471 as of November 5, 1998. Under provisions of KRS 66.480(1)(d) and KRS 41.240(4), banks are required to provide pledges of securities as collateral for interest-bearing and noninterest-bearing deposits if either exceeds the \$100,000 amount of insurance coverage provided by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation. We recommend that the Sheriff require his depository institution to pledge sufficient securities as collateral to protect deposits at all times.

Management's Response:

Bank to take care of this problem.

INTERNAL CONTROL - REPORTABLE CONDITIONS:

None

**INTERNAL CONTROL - MATERIAL WEAKNESSES:** 

None

#### **PRIOR YEAR:**

The Sheriff Should Have Required The Depository Institutions To Pledge Additional Securities Of \$141,939 As Collateral And Entered Into A Written Agreement To Protect Deposits

Management's Response:

"Bank to take care of this problem." – This is in regard to the insufficient pledges. The Sheriff has entered into a written agreement with the bank to protect deposits.



# REPORT ON COMPLIANCE AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENT PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS



### Edward B. Hatchett, Jr. Auditor of Public Accounts

Honorable Dwayne Jett, County Judge/Executive Honorable Mike Nelson, Bracken County Sheriff Members of the Bracken County Fiscal Court

> Report On Compliance And On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting Based On An Audit Of The Financial Statement Performed In Accordance With Government Auditing Standards

We have audited the Bracken County Sheriff as of December 31, 1998, and have issued our report thereon dated May 5, 1999. We conducted our audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in <u>Government Auditing Standards</u> issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

#### Compliance

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Bracken County Sheriff's financial statement as of December 31, 1998, is free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws and regulations, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance that are required to be reported under Government Auditing Standards.

#### Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the Bracken County Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statement and not to provide assurance on the internal control over financial reporting. Our consideration of the internal control over financial reporting would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control over financial reporting that might be material weaknesses. A material weakness is a condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that misstatements in amounts that would be material in relation to the financial statement being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. We noted no matters involving the internal control over financial reporting and its operation that we consider to be material weaknesses.

Honorable Dwayne Jett, County Judge/Executive
Honorable Mike Nelson, Bracken County Sheriff
Members of the Bracken County Fiscal Court
Report On Compliance And On Internal Control
Over Financial Reporting Based On An Audit Of The Financial
Statement Performed In Accordance With Government Auditing Standards
(Continued)

This report is intended for the information of management. However, this report, upon release by the Auditor of Public Accounts, is a matter of public record and its distribution is not limited.

Respectfully submitted,

Edward B. Hatchett, Jr. Auditor of Public Accounts

El Sharher

Audit fieldwork completed - May 5, 1999